Contents

| \mathbf{List} | of Figures | 5 | 2 | $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}$ |
|-----------------|------------|-----------------------------------|----|------------------------|
| Fore | word | | XX | ciii |
| Pref | ace | | XX | vii |
| Ackı | nowledgmen | ts | XX | cxi |
| Acro | onyms | | XX | XV |
| 1 I | ntroductio | on | | 1 |
| 1.1 | Why we w | vrote this book and who it is for | | 2 |
| 1.2 | Do you ne | eed deep learning? | | 4 |
| 1.3 | Book stru | cture | | 8 |
| 1.4 | Some rele | vant regulatory frameworks | • | 10 |
| | 1.4.1 | The European Union | | 11 |
| | 1.4.2 | The United States | • | 14 |
| | 1.4.3 | The United Kingdom | • | 16 |
| | 1.4.4 | Canada | · | 17 |
| | 1.4.5 | Singapore | · | 18 |
| 1.5 | Technical | background | | 20 |
| | 1.5.1 | Early days: the Perceptron | | 21 |
| | 1.5.2 | The Multilayer Perceptron | | 25 |
| | 1.5.3 | Training MLP: Backpropagation | | 29 |

| | 1.5.4 | From Multilayer to Deep Learning | 34 |
|-----|------------|--|----|
| 2 I | mage Prod | cessing and Convolutional Neural Networks | 39 |
| 2.1 | Image Dat | ta in Banking | 41 |
| 2.2 | Regulatory | y Constraints | 43 |
| 2.3 | Deep Lear | ning for Image Processing: Convolutional Neural Net- | |
| | works | | 44 |
| | 2.3.1 | Introduction to CNNs | 45 |
| | 2.3.2 | Basic Structure | 48 |
| | 2.3.3 | Notable models | 58 |
| 2.4 | Training C | CNNs | 64 |
| | 2.4.1 | Transfer Learning of Image Models | 66 |
| 2.5 | CNN appl | ications in banking and finance | 67 |
| | 2.5.1 | Image Classification | 67 |
| | 2.5.2 | Content-Based Image Retrieval | 69 |
| | 2.5.3 | Object Localization and Detection | 69 |
| | 2.5.4 | Video Analysis | 70 |
| | 2.5.5 | Generative Convolutional Networks | 72 |
| 2.6 | Case Stud | y: LiDAR-Based Mortgage Default Rate Prediction . | 74 |
| | 2.6.1 | Data loaders and preprocessing | 75 |
| | 2.6.2 | A simple CNN | 78 |
| | 2.6.3 | Explaining the predictions: Grad-CAM | 85 |
| | 2.6.4 | Fine-tuning a ResNet-50 model | 87 |

| 3 Т | Time series | and Recurrent Models | 93 |
|------------|-------------|--|-------|
| 3.1 | Time Serie | es and Panel Data in Banking | 94 |
| 3.2 | Regulatory | Aspects of Time-Series and Tabular Data | 96 |
| 3.3 | Deep Lear | ning Time-Series Models | 100 |
| | 3.3.1 | Recurrent Neural Networks | 100 |
| | 3.3.2 | Long Short-Term Memory | 103 |
| | 3.3.3 | Gated Recurrent Unit | 108 |
| | 3.3.4 | Echo State Networks | 109 |
| | 3.3.5 | Attention | 110 |
| | 3.3.6 | Temporal Convolutional Networks | 112 |
| 3.4 | Time serie | es applications in banking and finance | 115 |
| 3.5 | Case study | y: Behavioral Scoring Using Deep Learning | 118 |
| | 3.5.1 | Data processing | 119 |
| | 3.5.2 | Single channel models | 123 |
| | 3.5.3 | A first bimodal model | 125 |
| | 3.5.4 | Training and testing | 126 |
| 4] | Text Data | and Transformers | 135 |
| 4.1 | Text Data | in Banking | 136 |
| 4.2 | Regulatory | and Ethical Concerns | 138 |
| 4.3 | Recurrenc | y and Attention for Text Analytics | 142 |
| 4.4 | Multi-head | d Attention | 146 |
| 4.5 | Transform | ers | 148 |
| 16 | Transform | or based architectures for toxt: from REPT and beyon | 4 152 |

| | 4.6.1 | BERT: Bidirectional Encoder Representation from | |
|------|-------------|---|-----|
| | | Transformers | 154 |
| | 4.6.2 | RoBERTa: a robustly optimized BERT | 155 |
| | 4.6.3 | ALBERT: a Lite BERT | 156 |
| | 4.6.4 | DistilBERT: a distilled BERT | 158 |
| | 4.6.5 | ModernBERT | 159 |
| 4.7 | Fine-tuning | g of pre-trained models | 160 |
| 4.8 | Training a | text model from scratch | 162 |
| 4.9 | Text-based | l applications in banking and finance | 165 |
| 4.10 | Case study | : Recession chances arising from Fed speeches using | |
| | BERT | | 168 |
| | 4.10.1 | Data Loading and Preprocessing | 169 |
| | 4.10.2 | Model fine tuning | 172 |
| | 4.10.3 | Inference over new speeches | 176 |
| 5 F | inancial C | ontagion and Network Models 1 | 79 |
| 5.1 | Network d | ata in banking | 182 |
| | 5.1.1 | Network fundamentals | 182 |
| | 5.1.2 | Explicit Networks | 188 |
| | 5.1.3 | Implicit networks | 189 |
| 5.2 | Regulatory | concerns of using network data | 196 |
| 5.3 | Network fe | eatures: centrality and neighborhood | 198 |
| | 5.3.1 | Centrality measures | 198 |
| | 5.3.2 | Link-based measures | 203 |

| 5.4 | Graph Neu | ıral Networks | 206 |
|-----|------------|--|------------|
| | 5.4.1 | Graph Neural Networks Origins | 207 |
| | 5.4.2 | GraphSAGE | 211 |
| | 5.4.3 | Graph Convolutional Networks | 212 |
| | 5.4.4 | Graph Attention Networks | 213 |
| | 5.4.5 | Graph Isomorphism Networks | 215 |
| | 5.4.6 | Transductive and inductive learning | 216 |
| | 5.4.7 | Temporal Graph Neural Networks | 219 |
| 5.5 | Network a | pplications in banking and finance | 221 |
| 5.6 | Case study | : Default correlation in mortgage lending | 224 |
| | 5.6.1 | Building the networks | 225 |
| | 5.6.2 | Defining GNN models | 229 |
| | 5.6.3 | Training and testing | 233 |
| | 5.6.4 | Model performance | 235 |
| 6 G | Generative | AI and Large Language Models | 241 |
| 6.1 | Large Lang | guage Models in Banking | 242 |
| 6.2 | Regulatory | focus on Large Language Models | 245 |
| 6.3 | LLM Theo | ry | 248 |
| 6.4 | Alignment | and Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback | |
| | (RLHF) . | | 252 |
| 6.5 | LLMs as A | agents, Not Just Predictors | 254 |
| | 6.5.1 | Mixture of Experts (MoE) | 255 |
| | 652 | Patrioval Augmented Congration (PAC) | 256 |

| 6.6 | Agentic A | l | 259 |
|-----|-------------|--|------------|
| 6.7 | Licensing i | ssues and data use | 263 |
| 6.8 | LLM appli | cations in banking and finance | 268 |
| 6.9 | Case-study | : Comparison of prompt engineering and fine-tuning | |
| | for a credi | t scoring explainer | 269 |
| | 6.9.1 | Importing the models and calculating the scoring | |
| | | system | 271 |
| | 6.9.2 | Zero-Shot Prompting and Prompt Engineering | 273 |
| | 6.9.3 | Fine-tuning and Quantizing an LLM | 279 |
| | 6.9.4 | Testing on new data | 282 |
| 7 N | Multimodal | Data and Information Fusion | 285 |
| 7.1 | Al Multim | odal Models | 287 |
| | 7.1.1 | Data fusion: When to fuse the data | 288 |
| | 7.1.2 | Data fusion: How to combine multiple data sources | 290 |
| 7.2 | Creating a | Multimodal Model | 294 |
| | 7.2.1 | Training the Model | 295 |
| | 7.2.2 | Deploying Multimodal Models | 296 |
| 7.3 | Some Spe | cific Challenges in the Financial Sector | 298 |
| 7.4 | Operation | al Implications | 300 |
| 7.5 | Case study | x: A multimodal mortgage model | 301 |
| | 7.5.1 | Data preprocessing for multimodal deep learning | 302 |
| | 7.5.2 | Building the data loaders | 305 |
| | 7.5.3 | Defining and training the models | 306 |

| | 7.5.4 | Evaluation | 310 |
|------|-------------|---|-----|
| 8 F | airness, A | ccountability, Explainability and Causality | 313 |
| 8.1 | Fairness . | | 316 |
| | 8.1.1 | The challenge of fairness | 317 |
| | 8.1.2 | The different approaches to fairness | 319 |
| | 8.1.3 | Mitigating unfairness | 325 |
| 8.2 | Accountab | pility | 327 |
| 8.3 | Explainabi | ility | 332 |
| | 8.3.1 | SHAP | 334 |
| | 8.3.2 | Explainable AI in Operational Research | 339 |
| 8.4 | Explainabi | ility vs Causality | 341 |
| 8.5 | Causal De | ep Learning | 348 |
| 8.6 | Case study | y: Fairness and Explainability | 353 |
| | 8.6.1 | Fairness | 353 |
| | 8.6.2 | Explainability | 360 |
| 9 F | Perspective | es on the future of AI in banking | 365 |
| 9.1 | ROI analy | sis and project prioritization | 366 |
| 9.2 | Deploying | deep learning models | 368 |
| | 9.2.1 | Cloud or on-premise? | 375 |
| 9.3 | Future glo | bal perspectives | 376 |
| 9.4 | Closing W | /ords | 378 |
| Bibl | iography | | 381 |



List of Figures

| 1.1 | Example of a tabular dataset for supervised learning inspired | |
|-----|---|----|
| | by the credit scoring task | 22 |
| 1.2 | The architecture of the Perceptron model | 23 |
| 1.3 | Graphical representation of various activation functions | 25 |
| 1.4 | The architecture of the Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) model. | 27 |
| 1.5 | Illustration of different data representations: scalar (0D), | |
| | vector (1D), matrix (2D), and a three-dimensional tensor. | |
| | While tensors can have any number of dimensions, the 0D, | |
| | 1D, and 2D cases are so commonly used that they are given | |
| | special names | 34 |
| 2.1 | Free LiDAR data coverage in the US. Map services and data | |
| | available from U.S. Geological Survey, National Geospatial | |
| | Program | 43 |
| 2.2 | Samples from the MNIST handwritten digits dataset | 46 |
| 2.3 | Example of a standard CNN | 50 |
| 2.4 | Functioning of the convolution and max-pooling layers | 56 |
| 2.5 | Example of a LiDAR image for MSA 1018. Source: USGS | |
| | data under open license | 78 |
| 2.6 | Loss plots for different learning rates | 82 |

| 2.7 | SmoothGrad-CAM++ for the simple CNN model | 86 |
|-----|--|-------|
| 3.1 | Representation of the RNN model (with backward connec- | |
| | tions and unrolled) | 102 |
| 3.2 | Temporal Convolutional Network (TCN). Each hidden layer | |
| | "dilates" the input point by \boldsymbol{d} steps, which can increase as | |
| | more layers are stacked. This is memory-efficient and easier | |
| | to parallelize over long sequences | 114 |
| 3.3 | Bimodal recurrent network with static features | 125 |
| 3.4 | ROC curves for all models. | 132 |
| 4.1 | RNN architecture adapted to NLP. | 144 |
| 4.2 | Overview of the Transformer architecture, structured around | |
| | an encoder-decoder framework. Key stages include input | |
| | embedding, encoding of the input sequence, decoding with | |
| | feedback from the partially generated output, and iterative | |
| | generation of the final output | 150 |
| 4.3 | Structure of a encoder block with multi-head self-attention | |
| | and feed-forward sublayers, repeated $N=6$ times | 151 |
| 4.4 | Structure of a decoder block with masked self-attention, | |
| | encoder-decoder attention, and feed-forward sublayers, re- | |
| | peated $N=6$ times | 152 |
| 4.5 | Transformer Architecture. Adapted from Vaswani et al. (2017) | . 153 |
| 4.6 | ROC curve for the Fed speech economic forecasting model. | 176 |
| 5.1 | A simple network | 183 |

| 5.2 | Examples of networks that are either weighted or unweighted | |
|------|--|-----|
| | and directed or undirected | 185 |
| 5.3 | Adjacency matrices of the networks in figure 5.2 | 186 |
| 5.4 | An example of a bipartite network. It has two types of nodes, | |
| | dark and light, with no edges between dark nodes and no | |
| | edges between light nodes. The edges only connect a dark | |
| | node to a light node. The two adjacency matrices show | |
| | different ways to represent this network | 187 |
| 5.5 | Example of a spatial network. Node are connected based | |
| | on their physical or geographic distance with edge weight | |
| | indicating the distance. | 190 |
| 5.6 | A multilayer bipartite network | 191 |
| 5.7 | A multilayer unipartite network | 192 |
| 5.8 | A flattened –or edge colored– network | 192 |
| 5.9 | Graph showing the connections between the companies in | |
| | a return volatility series graph filtered by TMFG | 195 |
| 5.10 | A network of people. How does the position of the people | |
| | a_1 , a_2 , b_1 and b_2 differ in terms of having influence or spread- | |
| | ing risk? | 199 |
| 5.11 | An external event that propagates through a network from | |
| | a source node. | 203 |
| 5.12 | Example for computing link-based features | 204 |
| 5.13 | The inner workings of a GNN layer | 209 |
| 5.14 | Architecture for a temporal graph neural network | 220 |

| 5.15 | A subset of the network. Two nodes are connected if they | |
|------|---|-----|
| | share an area or a provider. The nodes are colored by the | |
| | target variable, where light gray nodes a nodes are non- | |
| | delinquent (label 0) and dark gray nodes are delinquent (la- | |
| | bel 1). | 228 |
| 5.16 | Loss curves for all models | 236 |
| 5.17 | ROC curves for all models. | 237 |
| 5.18 | Confusion matrices for all models | 238 |
| 6.1 | Difference between Encoder-Only and Decoder-Only Trans- | |
| | former Architectures | 249 |
| 6.2 | Architecture of a Retrieval-Augmented Generation System . | 258 |
| 6.3 | SHAP values of a case. A detailed explanation of the method | |
| | can be found in Section 8.3.1 | 274 |
| 6.4 | Weights & Biases Report | 282 |
| 7.1 | Different information fusion strategies. In this diagram, there | |
| | are three modalities (structured data, text and images) and | |
| | they are either processed by a function to be combined, | |
| | as in the early fusion example, or they are processed by a | |
| | model that outputs an embedding, a numerical representa- | |
| | tion of the data. A final AI model then transforms these | |
| | modalities into a desired final output, such as a prediction | |
| | or a regression value. Adapted from Tavakoli et al. (2025). | 289 |
| 7.2 | Cross attention vs Self-Attention. Adapted from Tavakoli | |
| | et al. (2025). | 293 |

| 7.3 | Concatenation Multimodal Model | 307 |
|------|---|-----|
| 7.4 | Cross-Attention Multimodal Model | 307 |
| 7.5 | ROC curves for the two models | 311 |
| 7.6 | Confusion Matrices for the two models | 311 |
| 8.1 | A waterfall SHAP plot for a single observation | 335 |
| 8.2 | A summary SHAP plot for all variables | 336 |
| 8.3 | A beeswarm SHAP plot for all variables and observations | 337 |
| 8.4 | A dependence SHAP plot showing the interaction between | |
| | two variables. | 338 |
| 8.5 | A causal graph: Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) with \boldsymbol{X} as a | |
| | confounder affecting both the bid \boldsymbol{B} and the target $\boldsymbol{Y}.$ | 342 |
| 8.6 | Distribution of defaulters(1) and non-defaulters(0) among | |
| | the four groups of the sensitive attribute | 354 |
| 8.7 | Barplots showing (from left to right, top to bottom) the | |
| | count, accuracy, selection rate, precision, true positive rate, | |
| | true negative rate, false positive rate and false negative rate | |
| | of the credit scoring model for the four groups | 357 |
| 8.8 | ROC curves per group and the feasible area | 359 |
| 8.9 | SHAP text explanations | 364 |
| 8 1N | SHAP har explanations | 364 |

| 9.1 | A simple project prioritization matrix. Quick wins are models | |
|-----|---|-----|
| | that should take priority. Opportunities are difficult models | |
| | that can create "moats". Back burners are projects that can | |
| | be developed if there is spare capacity. No-Go are projects | |
| | with low ROI that are also very risky to develop | 367 |
| 9.2 | Some ROI factors. Be mindful of identifying quantitative | |
| | and qualitative ones! | 369 |
| 93 | General inference server architecture | 371 |

List of Algorithms

| 2.1 | Image Data: Data loading and preprocessing | 76 |
|-----|--|-----|
| 2.2 | Image Data: Create data loaders. | 79 |
| 2.3 | Image Data: Define simple CNN regressor | 80 |
| 2.4 | Image Data: Train simple model | 83 |
| 2.5 | Image Data: Evaluate simple model | 84 |
| 2.6 | Image Data: Grad-CAM for model explainability | 85 |
| 2.7 | Image Data: ResNet-50 model | 89 |
| 2.8 | Image Data: Train ResNet-50. | 90 |
| 2.9 | Image Data: Evaluate ResNet-50 | 91 |
| 3.1 | Time-Series: Data Loading | 120 |
| 3.2 | Time-Series: Data preprocessing | 122 |
| 3.3 | Time-Series: Create data loaders. | 123 |
| 3.4 | Time-Series: Create Recurrent Model | 124 |
| 3.5 | Time-Series: Load static data | 126 |
| 3.6 | Time-Series: Define hybrid model | 127 |
| 3.7 | Time-Series: Training loop. | 129 |
| 3.8 | Time-Series: Validation loop | 130 |
| 3.9 | Time-Series: Running the models - Hybrid GRU model | 131 |
| 4.1 | Text data: Data loading | 170 |

| 4.2 | Text data: Preprocessing | .71 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 4.3 | Text data: Fine tuning a BERT model | 74 |
| 4.4 | Text data: Inference on new data | 77 |
| 5.1 | Network data: Data loading and network creation 2 | 226 |
| 5.2 | Network data: Define GNN models | 230 |
| 5.3 | Network data: Training and validation loop | 234 |
| 5.4 | Network data: Model Evaluation | 235 |
| 6.1 | LLM: Initial Setup | 272 |
| 6.2 | LLM: Zero-shot prompting | 276 |
| 6.3 | Detailed LLM Prompt Engineering and Fine-Tuning for Credit | |
| | Score Explainability | 278 |
| 6.4 | LLM Fine-tuning | 281 |
| 6.5 | Testing on new cases | 282 |
| 7.1 | Multimodal Learning: Load and process the data sources 3 | 303 |
| 7.2 | Multimodal Learning: Preprocess all different channels 3 | 804 |
| 7.3 | Multimodal Learning: Integrate Features and Create Datasets 3 | 306 |
| 7.4 | Multimodal Learning: Define the models | 808 |
| 7.5 | Multimodal Learning: Model training loop | 809 |
| 7.6 | Multimodal Learning: Model evaluation | 310 |
| 8.1 | Model Explainability: Pre-processing, SHAP value calcula- | |
| | tion and visualization | 362 |